Microscopic Description of Aging Dynamics: Fluctuation-Dissipation Relations, Effective Temperature, and Heterogeneities

A. Montanari and F. Ricci-Tersenghi

1CNRS-Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de l’Ecole Normale Supérieure, 24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris, France
2Dipartimento di Fisica and INFM, Università di Roma “La Sapienza,” Piazzale Aldo Moro 2, I-00185 Roma, Italy

(Rceived 18 July 2002; published 8 January 2003)

We consider the dynamics of a diluted mean-field spin glass model in the aging regime. The model presents a particularly rich heterogeneous behavior. In order to catch this behavior, we perform a spin-by-spin analysis for a given disorder realization. We confirm the connection between statics and dynamics at the level of single degrees of freedom. Moreover, working with single-site quantities, we can introduce a new response-vs-correlation plot, which clearly shows how heterogeneous degrees of freedom undergo coherent structural rearrangements. We discuss the general scenario which emerges from our work and (possibly) applies to more realistic glassy models. Interestingly enough, some features of this scenario can be understood recurring to thermometric considerations.

The description of the off-equilibrium dynamics in aging systems is one of the major challenges in contemporary statistical mechanics. Aging systems, such as spin, structural, and polymeric glasses [1], are slowly evolving, heterogeneous systems which do not reach thermal equilibrium, at low enough temperatures, on any experimental time scale. In the last 20 years a great effort has been devoted to study the dynamics of some prototypical models, namely, mean-field spin glasses [2]. These models exhibit an extremely rich behavior: slow relaxation, memory effects, aging. Important tools which have been introduced in this context are the off-equilibrium fluctuation-dissipation relation (OFDR) [3] and the effective temperature [4] one can derive from that relation. Such an effective temperature is, roughly speaking, what would be measured by a thermometer responding on the time scale on which the system ages.

One of the weak points of the results obtained so far is that they focus on global quantities, e.g., correlation and response functions averaged over the spins. On the other hand, we expect one of the peculiar features of glassy dynamics to be its heterogeneity [5]. For instance, correlation and response functions of a particular spin depend on its local environment [6]. Two simple remarks are in order here: (i) These spin-to-spin fluctuations are nonzero even in the thermodynamic limit; (ii) they disappear when average over quenched disorder is taken [7].

Moreover the present definition [4] of effective temperature has some problems. Indeed it corresponds to what would be measured by a specific, properly tuned, slow thermometer, while generalizations to more generic thermometers give disagreeing results [8], still to be clarified.

In this Letter, inspired by the new approach of [9], we study the aging dynamics focusing on single-site correlation and response functions. In this way we are able to consider the heterogeneities in the system and to define a microscopic, but site-independent, effective temperature.
μ₉₉ = 1.08(1). These results are summarized in the inset of Fig. 1.

Another important outcome from the SP algorithm is the value of the local Edwards-Anderson order parameter \( q_{EA}^{(i)}(m) \), which depends on the 1RSB parameter \( m \). On threshold states, the local order parameter is connected to the single-site correlation function (defined below):

\[
q_{th}^{(i)} = q_{EA}^{(i)}(m_{th}) = \lim_{t_i \to \infty} \lim_{t_w \to \infty} C_i(t_w + t_i, t_w).
\]  

We consider Metropolis dynamics starting from random initial conditions, \( \sigma_j(t = 0) = \pm 1 \). After a time \( t_w \) we turn on a small random magnetic field, \( h_j = \pm h_0 \), and we measure single-spin correlation and integrated response functions

\[
C_i(t_w + t_i, t_w) = \frac{1}{t_i} \sum_{t' = t}^{t + t_i} \langle \sigma_i(t_w + t') \sigma_i(t_w) \rangle,
\]

\[
\chi_i(t_w + t_i, t_w) = \frac{1}{t_i h_0} \sum_{t' = t}^{t + t_i} \langle \sigma_i(t_w + t') \text{sign}(h_i) \rangle,
\]

where \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) denotes the average over the Metropolis trajectories and the random perturbing field. The sums over \( t' \) are introduced in order to reduce the statistical error. This “experiment” is repeated \( N_{\text{runs}} \) times, each time with a different thermal noise and perturbing field. Typical values for \( N_{\text{runs}} \) range between \( 10^6 \) and \( 5 \times 10^6 \).

The first remark on the numerical data is that the spins can be clearly classified in two groups. Type-I spins behave as if the system were in equilibrium: the corresponding correlation and response functions satisfy time-translation invariance and the fluctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT). Type-II spins are out of equilibrium spins: their correlation and response functions are non-homogeneous on long time scales and violate FDT.

Type I includes isolated sites, but also 12 nonisolated sites. Remarkably these sites are the ones for which the SP algorithm returns \( q_{th}^{(i)} = 0 \); i.e., they are paramagnetic from the static point of view. These sites can also be identified via a simple algorithm [13].

Let us hereafter focus on type-II spins, that is, on glassy degrees of freedom. In Fig. 2 we show the correlation function for a generic type-II spin \( i = 0 \) here and the corresponding \( \chi \)-vs-C plot. For this spin we have \( q_{th} = 0.716(7) \), shown with a dashed line in Fig. 2 (top). In the limit of very large times we expect (in analogy with [3]) the OFDR \( \chi_i(t, t') = \chi_i[C(t, t')] \) to hold. Moreover the function \( \chi_i[C] \) is related to static quantities [14].

Numerical data, for this and for all the other spins, seem to converge to the static curve \( \chi_i[C] \). This is an evidence for a strong link between static and dynamic observables even at the level of single degrees of freedom.

The 1RSB static calculation yields

\[
T\chi_i[C] = [1 - C] \theta(C - q_{th}^{(i)}) + [1 - q_{th}^{(i)} - m_{th}(C - q_{th}^{(i)})] \theta(q_{th}^{(i)} - C). \tag{5}
\]

The OFDR changes from site to site because the \( q_{th}^{(i)} \) changes. Note, however, that the \( \chi_i[C] \) curves are parallel in the aging regime, since \( m_{th} \) depends only on the temperature (cf. Fig. 3).
System heterogeneities manifest themselves in the large variability of the $q_{ih}^{(i)}$ local order parameters. The $\chi$-vs-$C$ plot for seven generic sites, see Fig. 3 (top), clearly shows this variability. In order to check that single-site data can be well described by Eq. (5) we rescale data of Fig. 3 using the scaling variables $C_{ih}^{res} = 1 - A_i(1 - C_i)\chi_i(t)$ and $\chi_i^{res} = A_i\chi_i$, where $A_i = (1 - \overline{q})/(1 - q_{ih}^{(i)})$, $\overline{q}$ being a reference overlap which can be chosen freely. Rescaled data are shown in Fig. 3 (bottom).

A last important question, in order to complete the description of the time evolution of single-site quantities, regards the time law with which spin $i$ runs along the curve $\chi_i[C]$. The answer to this question is very surprising and it is shown in Fig. 4, where we plot the time evolution of all the 100 $C_i$’s and $\chi_i$’s.

Amazingly, site-II data leave the FDT line coherently (when the system undergoes a global structural re-arrangement) and they remain very well aligned for later times. Fits to a function

$$\chi_i(t_w + t, t_w) = \frac{1 - C_i(t_w + t, t_w)}{T_{fit}(t_w, t)}$$

give very accurate results with $T_{fit} = 0.459$ (for $t = 2^{12}$), $0.536$ ($t = 2^{15}$), $0.564$ ($t = 2^{16}$), $0.590$ ($t = 2^{17}$).

In the following discussion we outline a few general properties which can be extrapolated from our numerical results, and, possibly, applied to a wider variety of systems. Our basic objects are the local correlation and response functions $C_i(t, t')$ and $R_i(t, t') \equiv -\partial_t^{} \chi_i(t, t')$. Following Ref. [3], we guess that $\partial_t^{} C_i(t, t')$, $\partial_t^{} R_i(t, t') \equiv 0$ and $\partial_t^{} C_i(t, t')$, $\partial_t^{} R_i(t, t') \geq 0$. Moreover $C_i(t, t')$, $R_i(t, t') \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ for any fixed $t'$. All these properties are well realized within our model.

The first nontrivial property [15] is that, for any “non-exceptional” pair of spins $i$ and $j$ there exist two continuous functions $f_{ij}$ and $f_{ji}$ such that

$$C_i(t, t') = f_{ij}[C_j(t, t')]$$

and

$$C_j(t, t') = f_{ji}[C_i(t, t')]$$

asymptotically for $t, t' \gg 1$. We do not specify what nonexceptional means [16], but the reader is urged to bear in mind the example of type-I (paramagnetic) spins in our model: if $i$ is type I, and $j$ is type II, then relation (7) clearly does not hold.

It is easy to show that transition functions $\{f_{ij}\}$ can be written in the form $f_{ij} = f_i^{-1} \circ f_j$. Of course the functions $f_i$ are not unique: in particular, they can be modified by a global reparametrization $f_i \to g \circ f_i$.

Moreover Eq. (7) implies a one-to-one correspondence between the correlation scales (in the sense of [3]) of sites $i$ and $j$. Notice that, for our model, this is unavoidable if we want the connection between statics and dynamics to be satisfied both at the level of global and local (single-spin) observables. Physically this first property means that structural rearrangements occur coherently in the whole system. Notice that this is not unphysical, because

![FIG. 4. The “movie” plot: evolution of the single-spin correlation and response functions in the $(C, \chi)$ plane. Here we use $\hbar = 0.1, T = 0.4$, and $t_w = 10^5$. Different frames correspond to (from left to right and top to bottom) $t = 2^4$, $2^9$, $2^{12}$, $2^{15}$, $2^{16}$, and $2^{17}$. Black and white circles refer, respectively, to type-I and type-II sites. Black circles are not exactly on the FDT line because of finite-$h_0$ effects, to be discussed in Ref. [12]. For this reason, the system has to be considered in a quasiequilibrium regime as long as type-I and type-II data are aligned, even if on a line which is slightly below the FDT one (see, e.g., the third plot). The dotted lines are fits to type-II data.]
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far apart degrees of freedom are coherent only on a coarse time resolution (diverging with $t_{\infty}$).

The second property has been illustrated at length above: For large times $t, t'$, a local OFDR of the form $\chi(t, t') = \chi[C_i(t, t')]$ exists (the connection with the static result is not a crucial point here).

Our third property determines the form of the transition functions $f_{ij} = f_i^{-1} \circ f_j$ in the aging regime. In fact, the results in Fig. 4 suggest that

$$\frac{\chi(t, t')}{1 - C(t, t')} = \frac{\chi(t, t')}{1 - C_j(t, t')} \quad \forall \ i, j.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Combined with the OFDR, this means that we can take $f_i[C] = \chi[C]/(1 - C)$ for $C < q^{(i)}_{th}$. This relation cannot be extended to the quasiequilibrium regime $C_i > q^{(i)}_{th}$, because we would obtain $f_i[C] = \beta$ identically, which is not invertible, and to very small values of $C$. In more general physical systems [12] this formula must be replaced by $f_i[C] = \phi(\chi_i[C], C)$, with a site-independent $\phi(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Finally the fourth property is

$$\chi_i[C_i(t, t')] = \chi_j[C_j(t, t')]$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

or, in other words $\chi_i[C_i] = \chi_j[C_j]$ when $C_i = f_{ij}[C_j]$. Notice that, for our model, this property is satisfied by the prediction we draw from the statics. However, a direct numerical verification is quite hard.

Suppose now we measure the temperature of the spin $i$, by weakly coupling it to a thermometer [17]. If the thermometer responds quickly, it measures the bath temperature $T$ for any site $i$, independently of the details of the thermometer itself. However, if the thermometer is “slow,” it measures an effective temperature $T_{\text{meas}} > T$, which depends upon the specific thermometer used [8]. Nevertheless, the above scenarios imply [12] that $T_{\text{meas}}$ is site independent. The converse is also true: if one of the above four properties ceases to hold, one can construct a thermometer which distinguishes colder sites from hotter ones and use it to transfer heat.

Our conclusions are summarized in Fig. 5. Dynamical heterogeneities turn out to be strongly constrained in the aging regime. These constraints can be derived from the hypothesis of thermometric indistinguishability of different sites [12].
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[16] One possibility is to take Eqs. (7) as the definition of dynamically connected degrees of freedom. Our discussion is therefore valid within each dynamically connected component.

[17] Here we consider a thermometer based on the zeroth law of thermodynamics [4].