### Neutrino Scattering Results from MiniBooNE and SciBooNE

#### **Outline:**

- introduction, motivation
- MiniBooNE/SciBooNE experiments
- measurements, results
- interpretations
- future
- conclusions



R. Tayloe, Indiana U. e-N scattering XII Isola d'Elba, Italia, 6/12

### Neutrino scattering measurements

In order to understand v oscillations, it is crucial to understand the detailed physics of v scattering (at 1-10 GeV)

- for current and future oscillation experiments: MINOS, MiniBooNE, T2K, NOvA, LBNE, etc
- especially for *precision* (e.g. 1%) measurements and/or small oscillation probabilities (e.g. 0.1%)

Requires: Precise measurements to enable a complete theory valid over wide range of variables (reaction channel, energy, final state kinematics, nucleus, etc)

A significant challenge with neutrino experiments:

- non-monoenergetic and poorly-known beams
- large backgrounds
- nuclear scattering (bound nucleons)

We are currently making progress...



### Neutrino scattering measurements

No one said it would be easy... for example:



Revealing some interesting new and/or underappreciated physics.

# MiniBooNE and SciBooNE experiments, overview

### MiniBooNE

- Main Goal: Test the LSND observation of v oscillations via  $v_u \rightarrow v_e$  (and  $\overline{v}_u \rightarrow \overline{v}_e$ ) appearance.
- Additional goal: Investigate  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  cross sections and interactions
- See http://www-boone.fnal.gov/publications/ for publications and theses.
- Recently ended running. 2002-2005, 2007 in  $v_{\mu}$  mode, 2005-2006, 2008->=2012  $\overline{v_{\mu}}$  mode.

### SciBooNE

- Goal: Measure  $\nu$  cross sections and interactions with fine-grained detector for oscillation experiments (MiniBooNE, T2K)
- Ran: 2007-08 in  $v_{\mu}$  and  $\overline{v}_{\mu}$  modes.
- See http://www-sciboone.fnal.gov/documents/papers/papers.html for publications and theses.

### Fermilab Booster Neutrino Beam





### Booster neutrino beam, v flux

- Prediction of v flux is absolute necessity to produce absolute cross sections
- Determined from π production measurements from HARP at 8.9 GeV/c beam momentum (as MB), on 5% int. length Be target.. (Eur.Phys.J.C52(2007)29) and

- detailed MC (GEANT4) simulations of target+horn (PRD79(2009)072002)

- There was no tuning of flux based on MB data
- error on HARP data (7%) is dominant contribution to flux uncertainty
- overall 9% flux uncertainty, dominates cross section normalization ("scale") error



FIG. 2: (color online) Predicted  $\nu_{\mu}$  flux at the MiniBooNE detector (a) along with the fractional uncertainties grouped into various contributions (b). The integrated flux is 5.16 ×  $10^{-10} \nu_{\mu}/\text{POT/cm}^2$  (0 <  $E_{\nu}$  < 3 GeV) with a mean energy of 788 MeV. Numerical values corresponding to the top plot are provided in Table V in the Appendix.

### MiniBooNE experiment, v detector

- 541 meters from target
- 12 meter diameter sphere
- 800 tons mineral oil ( $CH_2$ )
- 3 m overburden
- includes 35 cm veto region
- viewed by 1280 8" PMTs (10% coverage) + 240 veto
- Simulated with GEANT3
- Nucl. Instr. Meth. A599 (2009).



### MiniBooNE Detector



MB/SB v scattering measurements

### SciBooNE experiment, v detector

- 100 meters from target
- scintillator tracking detector (scibar)
  - 14,336 1.3 x 2.5 x 290 cm<sup>3</sup> scintillator bars (15 tons)
  - $p/\pi$  separation using dE/dx
- electron catcher (EC) -
  - spaghetti calorimeter
  - 2 planes (11 X<sub>0</sub>)
  - identify  $\pi^0$  and e
- muon range detector (MRD)
  - 12 2"-thick steel + scintillator planes
  - measure muon momentum with range up to 1.2 GeV/c
- simulated with GEANT4

### v scattering channels in Booster Neutrino Beam

- v CC quasielastic (CCQE)
  - detection and normalization signal for oscillations
  - charged-current axial formfactor
- v NC elastic (NCel)
  - predicted from CCQE excepting NC contributions to form factors (possibly strange quarks)
- $\nu$  CC production of  $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$  ,  $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$ 
  - background (and perhaps signal) for oscillations
  - insight into models of neutrino pion production via nucleon resonances and via coherent production
- $-\,\nu$  CC inclusive scattering
  - should be understood together with exclusive channels
  - ~independent of final state details
- $\nu$  NC production of neutral pions
  - very important oscillation background
  - complementary to CC pion production
- $\nu$  NC production of photons
  - a possible oscillation background







### MiniBooNE experiment, event reconstruction

- Charged particles in MB create Cerenkov and small amount of scintillation light

- Tracks reconstructed (energy, direction, position) with likelihood method utilizing time, charge of PMT hits (NIM, A 608 (2009), pp. 206-224 )

- In addition, muon, pion decays are seen by recording PMT info for  $20\mu s$  around  $2\mu s$  beam spill

-  $E_{\nu}^{QE}$  and  $Q_{QE}^{2}$  reconstructed from  $E_{\mu}$ ,  $\theta_{\mu}$  with assumption of interaction with bound neutron at rest ("QE assumption")



$$E_{\nu}^{QE} = \frac{2(M_{n}')E_{\mu} - ((M_{n}')^{2} + m_{\mu}^{2} - M_{p}^{2})}{2 \cdot [(M_{n}') - E_{\mu} + \sqrt{E_{\mu}^{2} - m_{\mu}^{2}}\cos\theta_{\mu}]}, \quad (1)$$
$$Q_{QE}^{2} = -m_{\mu}^{2} + 2E_{\nu}^{QE}(E_{\mu} - \sqrt{E_{\mu}^{2} - m_{\mu}^{2}}\cos\theta_{\mu}), \quad (2)$$

# SciBooNE experiment, event reconstruction

- Charged particles create scintillation light in scibar, EC, MRD

150 100 Π 50 0 -50 -100 -150 -50 50 150 250 300 100 200 0

### candidate v CCQE event in SciBooNE

- Tracks reconstructed (energy, direction, position) from scibar hits
- MRD ranges out fraction of muons.
- EC used for  $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$  and e ID

### **CCQE** scattering

- $v_{\mu}$  charged-current (CC) quasielastic (CCQE)
  - most fundamental scattering process in ~1GeV range
  - detection and normalization signal for oscillations
  - charged-current axial formfactor
- Our fits and event generator use a relativistic fermi gas (RFG) model with one free parameter, M<sub>A</sub>, which controls axial formfactor:

$$F_A(Q^2) = -\frac{g_A}{\left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{M_A^2}\right)^2}$$

- Expect, from v H/D scattering and  $\pi$  electroproduction,  $M_A \sim 1.0 \text{ GeV}$ 

- (not quite one parameter, added a 2<sup>nd</sup> parameter needed to describe low-Q<sup>2</sup> behavior)





Fig. 18. A summary of existing experimental data: the axial mass  $M_A$  as measured in neutrino (left) and antineutrino (right) experiments. Points show results obtained both from deuterium filled BC (squares) and from heavy liquid BC and other experiments (circles). Dashed line corresponds to the so-called world average value  $M_A = 1.026 \pm 0.021$  GeV (see review [33]).

## MiniBooNE v CCQE analysis

- CCQE experimental defintion: 1  $\,\mu^{-}\,$  , no  $\pi$
- Requires id of stopping  $\mu^-$  and 1 decay  $e^-$  (2 "subevents")

 $\nu_{\mu}$  + n  $\rightarrow \mu^{-}$  + p  $\mid \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} + \nu_{e} + e^{-} (\tau \sim 2\mu s)$ 

- No selection on (and ~no sensitivity to) f.s. nucleon
- CC $\pi$  produces 2 decay electrons (3 subevents)  $\nu_{\mu} + N \rightarrow \mu^{-} + N + \pi^{+}$   $\downarrow \rightarrow \mu^{+} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} + \nu_{e} + e^{+} (\tau \sim 2\mu s)$  $\downarrow \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} + \nu_{e} + e^{-} (\tau \sim 2\mu s)$
- $CC\pi^+$  is (largest) background (e<sup>+-</sup> missed because of  $\pi$  absorption,  $\mu^-$  capture), but measured and

Final v CCQE sample: - 146k CCQE candidates

- 27% efficiency
- 77% purity





# MiniBooNE CCQE analysis

 At this stage, fit (shape-only) for M<sub>A</sub>, κ
 (but, not main result of analysis and has no effect on cross section results).

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{A}}^{\,\mathrm{eff}} &= 1.35 \pm 0.17 \; \mathrm{GeV} \; (\mathrm{stat+sys}) \\ \kappa &= 1.007 \pm 0.007 (\mathrm{stat+sys}) \\ \chi^2/\mathrm{ndf} &= 47.0/38 \end{split}$$

- added  $2^{\text{nd}}$  fit parameter,  $\kappa$  , to get low  $Q^2$  shape just right

- This model describes data well in  $\mu$  energy, angle and is used for other analyses.

... then on to cross section extraction...



Double-differential cross section:

- First measurement of this quantity

- Maximum information measurable on CCQE process from MB (which uses muon only)

- Most model-independent result possible

normalization (scale)error is 10.7%(not shown)

- error bars show remaining (shape) error

### Flux-integrated double differential cross section ( $T_{\mu}$ -cos $\theta$ ):



PRD 81, 092005 (2010)

Single-differential cross section:

data is compared
 (absolutely) with
 CCQE (RFG) model with
 various parameter values

 Compared to the worldaveraged CCQE model (red), MB CCQE data is 30% high

- RFG model with MB CCQE parameters (extracted from *shape-only* fit) agrees well with data over to within normalization error.





PRD 81, 092005 (2010)

Single-differential cross section (again):

- same plot as previous but with "irreducible" ( $CC\pi$  with  $\pi$  intra-nuc absorption) background shown.

- this background is subtracted, but may be undone (if desired) to produce "CCQE-like" sample

- also reported for double-diff xsection



### Flux-integrated single differential cross section $(Q_{QE}^2)$ :

PRD 81, 092005 (2010)

### Total cross section:

Total cross section is extracted by binning in "true" neutrino energy bins.
 " E<sup>QE,RFG</sup>"

- Caution, model dependent, but conventional.

- Again, total cross section value well-reproduced from extracted CCQE model parameters

- Fractional errors (as function of neutrino energy) and overall normalization errors reported

- Note how frac errors grow "off-peak" of flux. Important to consider for extracting energydependence



## SciBooNE CCQE results

- SciBooNE CCQE results are consistent with MiniBooNE



- NOMAD:

- wire chamber detector at CERN, mostly carbon target, 3-100 GeV
- in agreement with "world-average"  $M_A = 1.05 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.06$  GeV
- EPJ C63, 355 (2009)

### v NC elastic scattering from MiniBooNE

- v neutral-current (NC) elastic (NCel)
  - predicted from CCQE excepting NC contributions to form factors (possibly strange quarks)

- Does our knowledge of CCQE (usually measured via muon) completely predict NCel (measured via recoil nucleon) for nuclear targets?

- Unlike CC quasielastic, sensitive to isoscalar component of nucleon via isoscalar or "strange" axial-vector formfactor,  $G_A^{s}(Q^2)$  and  $\Delta s = G_A^{s}(Q^2 = 0)$ 

axial nucleon weak neutral current

$$\langle N | A_{\mu}^{Z} | N \rangle = - \left[ \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \right]^{1/2} \langle N | \frac{1}{2} \{ \bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} u - \bar{d} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} d - \bar{s} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} s \} | N \rangle$$

$$= - \left[ \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \right]^{1/2} \langle N | \frac{1}{2} \{ -G_{A}(Q^{2}) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \tau_{z} + G_{A}^{s}(Q^{2}) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \} | N \rangle$$



# MiniBooNE NC elastic analysis

- NCel experimental definition: 1  $\,p/n\,$  , no  $\mu^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$  ,  $\pi$ 

- below Cerenkov threshold (~350MeV),
  - p/n ~same response, from scintillation light
- above Cerenkov threshold
  - p/n separation possible, but cross section small
- NCel sample:
  - 94.5K candidate evts
  - efficiency = 26%
  - purity = 65%
- NC $\pi^{+/-}$  is (largest) background, ( $\pi^{+-}$  missed because of  $\pi$  absorption)





D. Perevalov, Ph.D, Alabama U. Phys. Rev. D82, 092005 (2010)

# MiniBooNE NC elastic results



NCel differential cross section

- ~1/3 of background is NCel-like (NC $\pi$  with  $\pi$  abs). This calc'd background is reported so NCel-like may be calculated.

# MiniBooNE NC elastic results

- M<sub>A</sub> extraction:

- from an absolute fit to proton KE distribution

 $M_A = 1.39 \pm 0.11 \text{ GeV}$  $\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 26.9/50$ 

- small sensitivity to  $\Delta s$ , assume  $\Delta s = 0$ .

- negligible sensitivity to  $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ 

- consistent with  $M_A$  from CCQE (shape) fit

### NCel proton KE distribution and M<sub>A</sub> comparison:



#### Phys. Rev. D82, 092005 (2010)

# MiniBooNE NC elastic results

- NCel to CCQE differential cross section ratio:

- flux error cancels between the 2 channels

- ratio is consistent with our RFG model. So no discrepency in NCel compared to CCQE

### NCel to CCQE differential cross section ratio



Phys. Rev. D82, 092005 (2010)

## <u>CC $\pi$ production in MiniBooNE</u>

- $-\,\nu$  CC production of  $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$  ,  $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$ 
  - background (and perhaps signal) for oscillations
  - insight into models of neutrino pion production via nucleon resonances and via coherent production
  - may also feed into "CCQE-like" events
- CC $\pi^+$ /CCQE ratio measured in MiniBooNE
- CC $\pi^+$ /CCQE ratio in agreement with model.
- So  $CC\pi^+$  rate (cross section) is also larger than expected.
- In both FSI corrected/uncorrected samples

$$\nu_{\mu} + p(n) \rightarrow \mu + \Delta^{+(+)} \rightarrow \mu + p(n) + \pi^{+}$$
  
 $\nu_{\mu} + A \rightarrow \mu + A + \pi^{+}$ 

### $CC\pi^+$ /CCQE ratio, no FSI corrections



FIG. 1: Observed  $CC1\pi^+$ -like/CCQE-like cross section ratio on CH<sub>2</sub>, including both statistical and systematic uncertainties, compared with the MC prediction [6]. The data have not been corrected for hadronic re-interactions.

S. Linden, PhD, Yale (Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 081801 (2009))

# <u>CC $\pi$ production in MiniBooNE</u>

 $CC\pi^+$ ,  $\pi^0$  differential cross sections from MiniBooNE:

- in a variety of kinematic variables
- model independent, absolutely norm'd
- will guide models of pion production including coherent piece
- excess of data over model present in these channels also.

$$\nu_{\mu} + p(n) \rightarrow \mu + \Delta^{+(+)} \rightarrow \mu + p(n) + \pi^{+}$$
$$\nu_{\mu} + A \rightarrow \mu + A + \pi^{+}$$



### <u>CC $\pi$ production in SciBooNE</u>

SciBooNE *coherent*  $CC\pi^+$  results:

- selected with forward-going low-Q2 pions
- No coherent production in CC channel observed, limit set.
- measured coherent  $CC\pi^{0}/NC\pi^{0}$  ratio =  $0.14\pm0.39$ Expected to be = 2 in most models.
- PRD78, 112004 (2008)



### $CC\pi^+$ event Q<sup>2</sup> distribution

 $\nu_{\mu} + p(n) \rightarrow \mu + \Delta^{+(+)} \rightarrow \mu + p(n) + \pi^{+}$ 

 $v_{\mu} + A \rightarrow \mu + A + \pi^+$ 

# CC inclusive from SciBooNE

- $-\nu$  CC inclusive scattering
  - should be understood together with exclusive channels
  - ~independent of final state details
  - SciBooNE result (PRD 83, 012005, 2011)
  - larger rate than predicted (as expected from CCQE,  $CC\pi$ )



### SciBooNE v CC inclusive cross section

# CC inclusive from MiniBooNE

- MiniBooNE preliminary results
- larger rate than predicted (as expected from CCQE,  $CC\pi$ )
- differential cross section will also be reported



v CC inclusive  $\mu$  energy, angle distributions



MB/SB  $\nu$  scattering measurements

R. Tayloe, Elba workshop, 12/11

### models for v QE scattering

### Much theoretical interest in results:



#### model comparison to MiniBooNE CCQE

Ankowski, SF

Benhar, SF

Madrid, RMF

RFG, M<sub>A</sub>=1 GeV

.... RFG, M\_=1.35 GeV

GiBUU

1.4

1.2

Athar, LFG+RPA

Martini, LFG+RPA

Nieves, LFG+SF+RPA

Martini, LFG+2p2h+RPA

1.6

1.8

#### with attention on the 40% excess over expectations

# models for v QE scattering

An interesting ideas have emerged...

- Perhaps extra "strength" in CCQE from multi-nucleon correlations within carbon (Martini et al PRC80, 065501, '09)

- Related to neglected "transverse" response in noted in electron scattering? (Carlson etal, PRC65, 024002, '02)
- Expected with nucleon correlations and 2-body exchange currents
- Note: may effect neutrino energy reconstruction in oscillation experiments!





# upcoming MiniBooNE results: v CCQE

If multinucleon correlations are large in CC-"QE" scattering,

- these contributions should result in different final states,
- $\overline{v}$  interference not as in 1N model
- and prediction for  $\overline{v}$  CCQE based on v data should show that.



# <u>upcoming MB results: v CC and NC QE</u>

 $\overline{\nu}$  CCQE

Preliminary results:



Final MiniBooNE  $\overline{v}$  CCQE (J. Grange, Florida) and  $\overline{v}$  NCel (R. Dharmapalan, Alabama) .. coming soon..

# <u>NCπ<sup>0</sup> production</u>

- $\nu$  NC production of neutral pions
  - very important oscillation background
  - complementary to CC pion production
  - sizable coherent piece

- MiniBooNE has produced differential cross section on NC $\pi^0$  production, used to constrain oscillation search background (NC $\pi^0$  misID and NC $\gamma$ )



### NCπ<sup>0</sup> production

- SciBooNE has concentrated on coherent NC $\pi^0$  and has measured non-zero value. In contrast to CC $\pi^0$  coherent.



### $\nu,\ \overline{\nu}$ , NC $\pi 0$ differential cross sections



C. Anderson PhD, Yale PRD81, 013005 (2010)

MB/SB  $\nu$  scattering measurements

# NC γ production

- MiniBooNE low-energy excess has spurred work on a possible background: NCγ production
- important background for  $v_{a}$  appearance searches
- eg: R. Hill, Phys. Rev. D 81, 013008 (2010), 1002.4215 [hep-ph]; B. Serot, X. Zhang, 1011.5913 [nucl-th].
- related to and constrained by  $\pi$  production
- should directly search for and measure this process.



NC γproduction



#### 6.5e20 POT neutrino mode w/ 3+1 fit

#### 11.3e20 POT anti-neutrino mode w 3+1 fit

latest MiniBooNE oscillation candidate energy distribution

MB/SB  $\nu$  scattering measurements

### Possible future plan for MiniBooNE: add scintillator

- Add scintillator (~30-50kg of butyl-PBD, as LSND) so that
  - n-capture (2.2 MeV)  $\gamma$  and
  - $\beta$ -decays (~15 MeV) are better measured.
- At low energy, if MB excess due to...
  - oscillation signal (charged current), then excess would contain ~0 neutrons and a non-zero fraction of <sup>12</sup>N<sub>as</sub> events
  - neutral current background, then high yield of neutrons (~50%) and no <sup>12</sup>N<sub>gs</sub>
- ... yielding a test of MB low-energy excess via CC/NC identification...

(Separates CC from NC components in this plot)

Other physics made possible with scintillator:

- NCn/NCp ratio, s-quark spin in nucleon and spin dependent dark-matter cross section
- neutron muliplicity in CCQE events provides info on multi-nucleon correlations in CCQE yield

Disclaimer: (this plan is not yet adapted by collaboration or approved by Fermilab)



### Possible future effort: SciNOvA

A "SciBar" detector using an existing and proven design (from KEK/SciBooNE), deployed in front of the NOvA near detector in the NuMI off-axis, 2 GeV, narrow-band beam.

A fine-grained SciBar detector in this location will provide:

- A test of recent MiniBooNE results indicating anomalously large cross section in CCQE using a different v source at slightly higher  $E_v$
- a search for 2N correlations
- Neutral-current differential cross sections, NC $\pi^0$ , NC $\gamma$  crucial for  $v_e$  appearance
- important cross checks of NOvA  $\nu$  oscillation backgrounds, esp NC $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$



### Summary/Conclusions/Outlook

- MiniBooNE/SciBooNE  $\nu$  scattering results have revealed interesting new insights on:

- v charged-current (CC) quasielastic (CCQE)
  - xsection anomously high, 2N effects?
  - MB  $\overline{\nu}$  measurement coming soon
  - more from MINERvA, perhaps SciNOvA
- v neutral-current (NC) elastic (NCel)
  - consistent with CCQE
  - MB  $\nu$  measurement coming soon
- $\nu$  CC production of  $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$  ,  $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$ 
  - also large cross section consistent with CCQE
- $-\nu$  CC inclusive scattering
  - MB results coming soon
- v NC production of neutral pions
  - measurements constrain oscillation backgrounds
- $\nu$  NC production of photons
  - an interesting, important channel
  - should pursue further w/ theory and experiment

- More results coming in from MINERvA, T2K, MiniBooNE, and (perhaps) SCINOvA.

- STAY TUNED!

